

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
MEDFORD DIVISION

ALLEN LAMONT JAMES,  
Petitioner,

1:10-cv-00202-CL

v.

**ORDER**

DON MILLS,  
Respondent.

---

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation (#56), and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Although no objections have been filed, this court reviews the legal principles *de novo*. See Lorin Corp. v Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 1983).

I have given this matter *de novo* review. I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Report and Recommendation (#56). The Petition (#2) is DENIED. All pending motions are DENIED as moot. No certificate of appealability shall issue as petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15 day of April, 2015.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Owen M. Panner". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a horizontal line extending from the end.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
MEDFORD DIVISION

ALLEN LAMONT JAMES,  
Petitioner,

1:10-cv-00202-CL

v.

**JUDGMENT**

DON MILLS,  
Respondent.

---

**PANNER, District Judge:**

For the reasons stated in the Court's Order adopting the Findings and Recommendations of Hon. Magistrate Judge Clarke (#56), the Petition (#2) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15 day of April, 2015.



OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE